Masi Denison P.O. Box 2023 Dover, NH 03281

## DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RM-10865

May 10, 2004

RECEIVED

FCC Chairman Michael Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

JUL - 2 2004

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice s request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI s aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built—in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely.

Masi Denison

No. of Copies rec'd 28
List ABCDE

Marilyn Wright 9 Saint Lukes Place Cambridge, NY 12816

R

May 10, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

RECEIVED

JUL - 2 2004

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice s request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI s aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Wright

Aaron Brandt 427 33rd. Ave. N. Apt. 204 St. Cloud, Minnesota 56303

May 10, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554 RECEIVED

JUL - 2 2004

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice s request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI s aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again. I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely.

Aaron M. Brandt

paul Damian 1349 West Thorndale chicago. IL 60660

May 10, 2004

RECEIVED

FCC Chairman Michael Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

JUL - 2 2004

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice s request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI s aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely.

paul Damian

Mark Williams 208 Country Club Hgts Butler, PA 16002

May 7, 2004

RECEIVED

JUL - 2 2004

Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary

Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Michael Powell

Federal Communications Commission

FCC Chairman Powell:

445 12th Street SW

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice s request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built—in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI s aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Mark Williams

amy Frieden 138 King Street Morgantown, WV 26501

May 7, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

RECEIVED

JUL - 2 2004

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

As a concerned individual. I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice s request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI s aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

amy frieden

Amanda Larkin 1823 Winchester Trail Atlanta, GA 30341

May 7, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice's request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI s aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Amanda Larkin

Alex A. Karaczun 8 Chamberlain Ln. Whiting, Me. 04631

May 7, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice s request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI s aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Alex A.Karaczun

Craig Williams 240 Ronglyn Ave Idaho Falls. ID 83401

May 7, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice s request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built—in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI s aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangarous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Craig Williams

Amanda Greenwood 205 County Road 2446 Saltillo, MS 38866

May 7, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice s request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI s aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Amanda Greenwood

Jon Brown 592 E. Rambo Rd Rock Hill, SC 29732

May 7, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice s request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI s aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Regards.

Jon Brown

Michael Merenda 15 East Haley #325 Santa Barbara, California 93101

May 7, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice s request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI s aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Micheal Merenda

Michael Merenda 15 East Haley #325 Santa Barbara, California 93101

May 7, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice s request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI s aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Micheal Merenda

Mark Kaprow 2201 Eaglesham Ct. Olney, MD 20832

May 7, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice s request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI s aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely.

Mark Kaprow

nimah ibrahim 3704 vanhorn rod apt4 Trenton. mi 48183

May 7, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice s request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI s aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely.

nimah

CHARLES H. BRIGGS III 19 WEST GLEN STREET HOLYOKE, MA. 01040

May 7, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice s request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI s aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

CHARLES H. BRIGGS III

brian fritchlee po box 14091 columbus, oh 43214

May 7, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice s request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI s aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

brian fritchlee

Steven C Johnson 4252 Hammock Dr S Colorado Springs, Colorado 80917

May 7, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice s request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built—in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI s aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Steven C Johnson

Lisa Ryan 82 Lincoln Oaks Dr. #804 Willowbrook, IL 60527

May 7, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice s request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI s aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

lisa Ryan

Mike Stollenwerk 5573 Jowett Ct. Alexandria, VA 22315

May 6, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice s request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI s aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Mike Stollenwerk

Mike Stollenwerk 77 Rockford Rd. Mountville. VA 17554

May 6, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice s request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the aquivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI s aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Mike Stollenwerk

Joshua Sewell 1210 Paquin St columbia, MO 65201

May 6, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice s request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI s aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Joshua Sewell

Anirvan Chatterjee 2741 College Ave. #2 Berkeley, CA 94705

May 6, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice s request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI s aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely.

Anirvan Chatterjee

Laura Jones 693 Village Creek Drive Lilburn, Ga 30047

May 4, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice s request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built—in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI s aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Laura A. Jones

ANN SCHAFER 41 FAIRVIEW AVE PIEDMONT, CA 94610

May 4, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice s request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI s aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Ann Schafer

jason karl 3885 5 mile rd allegany, ny 14706

May 3, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice s request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI s aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Gratefully, and my best wishes,

Jason Karl

Jaime Ortega 2002A Guadalupe #483 Austin, TX 78705

May 3, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice s request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI s aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Jaime Ortega

lisa Ryan 82 Lincoln Oaks Dr. #804 Willowbrook, Il 60527

May 7, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice s request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built—in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI s aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Lisa Ryan